UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,239	04/12/2004	Te-Sun Wu	JCLA12729	4072
23900 J C PATENTS,	590 05/01/2008 INC.	S	EXAMINER	
4 VENTURE, S IRVINE, CA 92	SUITE 250		JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
IN VIINE, CA 92	2010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/823,239	WU, TE-SUN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a refricted will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withe 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·		

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Based upon the submitted amendment, the examiner notes that claims 1 and 14 have been amended.
- 2. The examiner withdraws the 112 rejection to claims 1-7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-6,8,9,12-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lygas (6532292).

As per **claim 1,** Lygas discloses a system that may be used with a phone system (Col 1 lines 10-25). The system comprises directional speaker elements 120,130 in Fig. 2 and a receiver (microphone) which audio signals are sent, but the microphone does not receive the directional speaker output (echo is reduced) (Col1 lines 45-50). The system is used in a phone, where the means to transfer signals received by the microphone to a communications network are provided to perform the known telephone function of communication.

Art Unit: 2614

As per **claims 8,14** they are rejected as per the claim 1 rejection. The ultrasonic modulating system functions to polarize a remote audio signal such that it propagates

directionally through air.

As per claims 2,9,15, the directional speaker elements create a signal field of

predetermined shape (which includes a predetermined direction and range). The field is

created using an ultrasonic carrier wave. (Col 2 lines 1-20).

As per claims 3,16,17, the ultrasonic modulated signal is demodulated through air

back into an audible signal (Col 3 lines 30-60) within the predetermined field.

As per claim 4, the receiver microphone is not within the range (it is only in the

ear canal). There is a stated advantage that this setup will reduce echo (Col 1 lines 55-

60).

As per claim 5, the device creates a field inside the canal of a listener, which

would be a wedge with a predetermined direction and a characteristic direction (based on

the shape) creating an angle of less than about 30 degrees (Col 3 lines 20-30).

As per claims 6,12,13, they are rejected as per the claim 1 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2614

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7,10,11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lygas

(6532292) as applied to claims 1-6,8,9, above, and further in view of Li (US20040124739).

As per claims 7,10, Lygas discloses the ultrasonic directional speaker used for

echo reduction, but does not specify the specifics of the audio signal modulating portion

or any pre-compensation for the audio signal.

Li teaches an ultrasonic modulator for a directional speaker. The modulator

comprises precompensator 200 (fig. 3) and ultrasonic modulator 204, AM unit 202, and

power amplifier 206. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of

this application to implement a known ultrasonic modulating system to provide the

details (such as modulating type) left out by Lygas.

As per **claim 11**, it is rejected as per the claim 1 rejection.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2614

As per applicant's argument (page 7) that Lygas discloses an audio signal that self modulates in air, the examiner notes that Lygas discloses a specific modulation of the audio signal (via a controller) in Figs. 1,2 that may be used in a telephone system (Col 1 lines 10-50).

As per applicant's argument (page 8) that Lygas does not teach a control unit interfacing bidirectional audio with a communications network, the examiner notes that Lygas discloses a phone system which inherently comprises a controller for the purpose of implementing known bidirectional communication (telephones!) over a communications network. The whole purpose of Lygas' system is to solve the known problem of echo in telephone systems.

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications

and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

April 30, 2008